

$B \rightarrow \rho\pi$ decays and final state phases

Fayyazuddin

National Centre for Physics and Department of Physics
Quaid-i-Azam University
Islamabad, Pakistan.

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Using the isospin analysis, the fact that penguin is pure $\Delta I = 1/2$ transition, the unitarity for tree graph and C -invariance of strong interactions, it is shown that $\delta_t = 0 = \tilde{\delta}_t$, $r_{-0} = tr_{0-}$, $\delta_{-0} - \delta_{0-} = \pm\pi$, $2tr_f r_{\bar{f}} \cos(\delta_f - \delta_{\bar{f}}) = (r_f^2 + t^2 r_{\bar{f}}^2) - r_{-0}^2$, where δ 's are final state phases and r 's are penguin to tree ratios defined in the text.

Using the factorization for tree graph as input and the experimental data, we have obtained the following bounds on $r_f, r_{\bar{f}}, \delta_f$ and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$: $0.11 \leq r_f \leq 0.21, 0.18 \leq r_{\bar{f}} \leq 0.30; 11^\circ \leq \delta_f \leq 57^\circ, 23^\circ \leq \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 90^\circ$ for the case $z_{f,\bar{f}} = \cos \alpha \cos \delta_{f,\bar{f}} < 0$. For $z_f < 0$ and $z_{\bar{f}} > 0$, we obtain the following bounds for $r_{\bar{f}}$ and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$: $0.14 \leq r_{\bar{f}} \leq 0.46; 90^\circ \leq \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 170^\circ$. From experimental data, for the decays $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^0 (\rho^0 \pi^-)$ we get $\epsilon_{-0} = 0.28 \pm 0.10, \epsilon_{0-} = 0.51 \pm 0.10, \frac{A_{CP}^{-0}}{A_{CP}^{0-}} = -0.8 \pm 0.1$ i.e. A_{CP}^{-0} and A_{CP}^{0-} have opposite sign, where $1 + \epsilon_{0-,0-} = \frac{|T^{-0,0-} + C^{0-,0-}|}{|T^{-0,0-}|}$. In the naive quark model the above values imply $a_2/a_1 = 0.39 \pm 0.14$ and $a_2/a_1 = 0.37 \pm 0.07$ consistent with each other.

1 Introduction

The decays of B into two light mesons which belong to an octet or a nonet representation of $SU(3)$ have been extensively investigated experimentally and analysed theoretically to obtain information about CP -violating phases

α, β, γ and to test theoretical models [1]. As is well known, CP asymmetries involve not only weak phases but also strong phases. It is not easy to reliably estimate the final state strong phases.

In the conventional frame work, the decays are analysed in terms of the tree amplitude (T); the color-supressed amplitude (C) and the penguin amplitude (P) (loop supressed). The supression factor is expressed in terms of Wilson coefficents in the effective Lagrangian for B decays viz $\frac{|C|}{|T|} \sim \frac{a_2}{a_1} \approx 0.18$, $\frac{|P|}{|T|} \approx \left| \frac{a_4}{a_1} \right| \left| \frac{V_{tb}V_{td}^*}{V_{ub}V_{ud}^*} \right| \approx 0.03 \left| \frac{V_{tb}V_{td}^*}{V_{ub}V_{ud}^*} \right|$.

However for $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays, to fit the data, rather large values of $\left| \frac{C}{T} \right|$ and $\left| \frac{P}{T} \right|$ are required; against the spirit of the model. The pions are identical bosons and their wave functions in the final state must be symmetric. This is explict in the isospin analysis of these decays. It is not clear how Bose statistics is satisfied in terms of T, C and P amplitudes. However ρ and π being not identical bosons, there is no problem with Bose statistics in terms of analysing these decays in terms of amplitudes T, C and P . The $B \rightarrow \rho\pi$ decays have been extensively studied [2, 3]. In particular, the decays $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+(f)$, $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^-(\bar{f})$ and $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^0(\rho^- \pi^0)$ are analysed in terms of one weak phase α , six strong phases $\delta_f, \delta_{\bar{f}}, \delta_t, \delta_{-0}, \delta_{0-}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_t$ and seven parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{T^{\bar{f}}}{T^f} &= \frac{|T^{\bar{f}}|}{|T^f|} e^{i(\delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \delta_f^T)} \equiv t e^{i\delta_t} \\
\frac{P^{\bar{f}}}{T^{\bar{f}}} &= \frac{|P^{\bar{f}}|}{|T^{\bar{f}}|} e^{i(\delta_{\bar{f}}^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^T)} \equiv r_{\bar{f}} e^{i\delta_{\bar{f}}} \\
\frac{P^f}{T^f} &= \frac{|P^f|}{|T^f|} e^{i(\delta_f^P - \delta_f^T)} \equiv r_f e^{i\delta_f} \\
\frac{\tilde{T}^{0-}}{\tilde{T}^{-0}} &= \frac{T^{0-} + C^{0-}}{T^{-0} + C^{-0}} = \frac{|\tilde{T}^{0-}|}{|\tilde{T}^{-0}|} e^{i(\delta_{0-}^{\tilde{T}} - \delta_{-0}^{\tilde{T}})} = \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon_{0-}}{1 + \epsilon_{-0}} \right) t e^{i\tilde{\delta}_t} \\
\frac{P^{0-}}{\tilde{T}^{0-}} &= \tilde{r}_{0-} e^{i(\delta_{0-}^P - \delta_{0-}^{\tilde{T}})} = \frac{r_{0-}}{1 + \epsilon_{0-}} e^{i\delta_{0-}} \\
\frac{P^{-0}}{\tilde{T}^{-0}} &= \tilde{r}_{-0} e^{i(\delta_{-0}^P - \delta_{-0}^{\tilde{T}})} = \frac{r_{-0}}{1 + \epsilon_{-0}} e^{i\delta_{-0}}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$1 + \epsilon_{0-} = \frac{|\tilde{T}^{0-}|}{|T^{0-}|}, 1 + \epsilon_{-0} = \frac{|\tilde{T}^{-0}|}{|T^{-0}|}, \sqrt{2}|T^{0-}| = |T^{\bar{f}}|, \sqrt{2}|T^{-0}| = |T^f|$$

Thus there are thirteen parameters besides the weak phase α . There are seven independent observables viz the CP violating asymmetries $A_{CP}^{\pm}$ and $A_{CP}^{\mp}$, the direct CP violation parameter C and dilution parameter ΔC , the mixing induced CP violation parameter S and dilution parameter ΔS , and the total decay rate $\Gamma_{\rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}}$ for $\bar{B}^0$ decays and four observables for B^- decays viz two decay rates and two CP asymmetries.

Hence it is required to reduce number of parameters by some theoretical input. In particular in this paper (see section 2); using the isospin analysis and the fact that penguin is pure $\Delta I = 1/2$ transition, we have obtained the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} r_{-0} &= tr_{0-}; \delta_{0-}^P - \delta_{-0}^P = \pm\pi \\ 1 - \cos(\delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P) &= \frac{r_{-0}^2 - (r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})^2}{2tr_f r_{\bar{f}}} \\ tr_{\bar{f}} \sin(\delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P) &= r_{-0} \sin(\delta_{-0}^P - \delta_f^P) \end{aligned}$$

Further, using the unitarity for the tree amplitude and C -invariance of strong interactions, it is shown that one possible solution gives $\delta_t = 0 = \tilde{\delta}_t$. Selecting this solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P &= \delta_f - \delta_{\bar{f}} \\ \delta_{-0}^P - \delta_{0-}^P &= \delta_{-0} - \delta_{0-} = \pm\pi \end{aligned}$$

These results are used to analyse the experimental data in section 3.

2 Isospin Constraints and Final State Phases

The decay amplitudes in terms of T, P and C for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f, \bar{f}$ decays are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_f &= e^{-i\beta} \langle f | H | \bar{B}^0 \rangle \equiv e^{-i\beta} \bar{A}_f = e^{-i\beta} [T^f e^{-i\gamma} + P^f e^{i\beta}] \\ &= -T^f [e^{i\alpha} - r_f e^{i\delta_f}] \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\bar{S}_{\bar{f}} = e^{-i\beta} \bar{A}_{\bar{f}} = -T^{\bar{f}} [e^{i\alpha} - r_{\bar{f}} e^{i\delta_{\bar{f}}}] \tag{3}$$

For $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{f}$ and $B^0 \rightarrow f$ change $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ in Eqs.(2) and (3) respectively.

For $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^0$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}_{-0} &= e^{-i\beta} \bar{A}_{-0} = -[T^{-0} e^{i\alpha} + C^{-0} e^{i\alpha} - P^{-0}] \\ &= -[\tilde{T}^{-0} e^{i\alpha} - P^{-0}] = -\tilde{T}^{-0} [e^{i\alpha} - \tilde{r}_{-0} e^{i\delta_{-0}}]\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

$$\bar{S}_{0-} = e^{-i\beta} \bar{A}_{0-} = -\tilde{T}^{0-} [e^{i\alpha} - \tilde{r}_{0-} e^{i\delta_{0-}}] \quad (5)$$

For $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^0, \rho^0 \pi^+$ change α to $-\alpha$ in Eqs.(4) and (5) respectively.

Isospin analysis of these decays gives useful constraints on the decay amplitudes. The effective weak Lagrangian contains both $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ parts. As is well known, the decay amplitudes can be written in terms of four complex amplitudes corresponding to $I = 0, I = 2$ symmetric isospin wave functions for $\rho\pi$ states and two antisymmetric isospin wave functions corresponding to $I = 1$. Thus we have

$$\bar{A}_f = -\frac{1}{2}A_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}A_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}A_2 - \frac{1}{2}A'_1 \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{A}_{\bar{f}} = \frac{1}{2}A_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}A_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}A_2 + \frac{1}{2}A'_1 \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{A}_{-0} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}A_2 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}A'_1 \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{A}_{0-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}A_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}A'_1 \quad (9)$$

$$\bar{A}_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}A_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A_2 \quad (10)$$

The amplitudes A_0, A_1 and A_2, A'_1 correspond to $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ parts of the effective weak Lagrangian respectively. From Eqs (6-10), we get the relations

$$(\bar{A}_f + \bar{A}_{\bar{f}}) - \sqrt{2}(\bar{A}_{-0} + \bar{A}_{0-}) = 2\bar{A}_{00} \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{A}_{-0} + \bar{A}_{0-} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}A_2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$2(\bar{A}_f - \bar{A}_{\bar{f}}) - \sqrt{2}(\bar{A}_{-0} - \bar{A}_{0-}) = -A'_1 \quad (13)$$

Since both A_2 and A'_1 are pure $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ and the penguin P is pure $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$, we must have

$$P^{-0} + P^{0-} = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$2(P^f - P^{\bar{f}}) - \sqrt{2}(P^{-0} - P^{0-}) = 0 \quad (15)$$

From Eqs.(14) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{-0}^P - \delta_{0-}^P &= \pm\pi \\ r_{-0} &= r_{0-} \frac{|T^{0-}|}{|T^{-0}|} \\ &= tr_{-0} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and

$$1 - \cos(\delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P) = \frac{r_{-0}^2 - (r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})^2}{2tr_f r_{\bar{f}}} \quad (17)$$

$$tr_{\bar{f}} \sin(\delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P) = r_{-0} \sin(\delta_{-0}^P - \delta_f^P) \quad (18)$$

One can get some information about the final state phases δ_f^T and $\delta_{\bar{f}}^T$ as follows. The factorization ansatz for tree graph is on strong footing [4]. This combined with a physical picture [5] that in the weak decay of B meson, the b quark is converted into $b \rightarrow u + q + \bar{q}$ and that for the tree graph the configuration is such that q and $\bar{q}$ essentially go together into the color singlet states with the third quark recoiling, there is a significant probability that the system will hadronize as a two body final state. The strong phase shifts are generated after hadronization by rescattering. Thus it is reasonable to use unitarity to get information about strong phases at least for the tree graph.

Unitarity gives

$$\text{Im } A_f^i = \sum_n M_{nf}^* A_n^i \quad (19)$$

where A_f is the decay amplitude and M_{nf} is the scattering amplitude for $f \rightarrow n$. The superscript i indicates that the Eq. (19) holds for each weak amplitude and not for the whole amplitude A_f . Eq.(19) can be used to estimate the final state phase shifts [6]. Eq. (15) can be written as

$$\text{Im } A_f^i - M_{ff}^* A_f^i = \sum_n M_{nf}^* A_n^i \quad (20)$$

Since the decays are P -wave decays, we can use $M = \frac{S-1}{2i}$ where S is the S -matrix for $l = 1$ partial wave. In terms of S , Eq. (19) gives

$$\text{Im } A_f^i (1 + S_f^*) + i \text{Re } A_f^i (1 - S_f^*) = i \sum_n S_{nf}^* A_n^i \quad (21)$$

Now parametrizing S -matrix as $\eta e^{2i\Delta}$ [7] and noting $\text{Re } A_f^i = |A_f^i| \cos \delta_f^i$, $\text{Im } A_f^i = |A_f^i| \sin \delta_f^i$ and taking the absolute square on both sides, we get

$$|A_f^i|^2 [(1 + \eta^2) - 2\eta \cos 2(\delta_f^i - \Delta)] = \sum_{n', n \neq f} A_n^i S_{nf}^* A_{n'}^{i*} S_{n'f} \quad (22)$$

Note that in single channel description η the absorption coefficient take care of all the inelastic channels [8]. This is an exact equation. In the random phase approximation of [6], we can put

$$\sum_{n', n \neq f} A_n^i S_{nf}^* A_{n'}^{i*} S_{n'f} = \sum_{n \neq f} |A_n^i|^2 |S_{nf}|^2 = \overline{|A_n^i|^2} (1 - \eta^2) \quad (23)$$

Then using Eq.(23), Eq.(22) can be written in the form [7]

$$\tan^2 (\delta_f^i - \Delta) = \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta} \right) \frac{\rho^2 - \frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta}}{1 - \rho^2 \frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta}} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\rho^2 = \frac{\overline{|A_n^i|^2}}{|A_f^i|^2} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta} \leq \rho^2 \leq 1$$

Using the C -invariance of S -matrix [9]

$$\langle f | S | n \rangle = \langle f | C^{-1} C S C^{-1} C | n \rangle = \langle \bar{f} | S | \bar{n} \rangle \quad (26)$$

we get

$$\tan^2 (\delta_{\bar{f}}^i - \Delta) = \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta} \right) \frac{\bar{\rho}^2 - \frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta}}{1 - \bar{\rho}^2 \frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta}} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\bar{\rho}^2 = \frac{\overline{|A_{\bar{n}}^i|^2}}{|A_{\bar{f}}^i|^2} \quad (28)$$

In particular we use Eqs.(24) and (27) for the tree amplitudes $i = T$. First we note that for the minimum value of ρ^2 and $\bar{\rho}^2$, Eq.(24) and (27) gives

$$\delta_{f,\bar{f}}^T = \Delta \text{ or } \pm \pi + \Delta \quad (29)$$

Thus either we have $\delta_t \equiv \delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \delta_f^T = 0$ or $\delta_t = \pm\pi$. We select the solution $\delta_t = 0$ in agreement with the result of reference [10]. This reinforces the point of view that for the tree graph final state phases shift is generated by the rescattering.

However if we assume $\rho^2 = \bar{\rho}^2$, then from Eqs.(24) and (27), we get

$$\tan(\delta_f^T - \Delta) = \pm \tan(\delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \Delta) \quad (30)$$

Then from Eq. (30), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \delta_f^T &= \delta_{\bar{f}}^T; \delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \delta_f^T \equiv \delta_t = 0 \\ (ii) \quad \delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \Delta &= \pi + (\delta_f^T - \Delta) \\ (iii) \quad \delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \Delta &= -(\delta_f^T - \Delta), \\ (iv) \quad \delta_{\bar{f}}^T - \Delta &= \pm\pi - (\delta_f^T - \Delta), \end{aligned}$$

Thus besides other solutions for which δ_t is arbitrary, $\delta_t = 0$ is also a possible solution.

We now discuss the final state phases for the amplitudes $\tilde{T}^{-0}$ and $\tilde{T}^{0-}$. Since the tree and color suppressed amplitudes have same weak phase, we can use similar analysis as above to obtain the solution $\delta_{0-}^{\tilde{T}} = \delta_{-0}^{\tilde{T}}$ or $\delta_{0-}^{\tilde{T}} = \mp\pi + \delta_{-0}^{\tilde{T}}$. Now using $\delta_f^T = \delta_{\bar{f}}^T$ and $\delta_{0-}^{\tilde{T}} = \delta_{-0}^{\tilde{T}}$ we get from Eqs.(16) and (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{-0} - \delta_{0-} &= \pm\pi \\ 1 - \cos(\delta_f - \delta_{\bar{f}}) &= \frac{r_{-0}^2 - (r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})^2}{2tr_f r_{\bar{f}}} \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\delta_f = \delta_{\bar{f}}$ if $r_{-0} = \pm(r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})$ which is experimentally testable.

3 Observables

In this section, we define the observables which are experimentally measured.

1) The average decay rate

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
R_f &= \frac{|S_{\bar{f}}|^2 + |\bar{S}_f|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{\bar{f}} + \bar{\Gamma}_f) \\
R_{\bar{f}} &= \frac{|S_f|^2 + |\bar{S}_{\bar{f}}|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_f + \bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{f}}) \\
R_{-0} &= \frac{|S_{+0}|^2 + |\bar{S}_{-0}|^2}{2} \\
R_{0-} &= \frac{|S_{0+}|^2 + |\bar{S}_{0-}|^2}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_f &= |T^f|^2 [1 - 2r_f \cos \alpha \cos \delta_f + r_f^2] \equiv |T^f|^2 B_f \\
R_{\bar{f}} &= |T^{\bar{f}}|^2 [1 - 2r_{\bar{f}} \cos \alpha \cos \delta_{\bar{f}} + r_{\bar{f}}^2] \equiv |T^{\bar{f}}|^2 B_{\bar{f}}
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$R_{-0} = |T^{-0}|^2 [(1 + \epsilon_{-0})^2 - 2(1 + \epsilon_{-0})r_{-0} \cos \alpha \cos \delta_{-0} + r_{-0}^2] \equiv |T^{-0}|^2 B_{-0} \tag{33}$$

$$R_{0-} = |T^{0-}|^2 [(1 + \epsilon_{0-})^2 - 2(1 + \epsilon_{0-})r_{0-} \cos \alpha \cos \delta_{0-} + r_{0-}^2] \equiv |T^{0-}|^2 B_{0-}$$

It is convenient to define, the average rates for B -decays to $\rho^+ \pi^-$ and $\rho^- \pi^+$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^\pm &= \frac{|S_{\bar{f}}|^2 + |\bar{S}_f|^2}{2} \\
\Gamma^\mp &= \frac{|S_f|^2 + |\bar{S}_{\bar{f}}|^2}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_f + R_{\bar{f}} &= \Gamma^{\rho\pi} = (\Gamma^\pm + \Gamma^\mp) \\
|T^f|^2 &= \frac{\Gamma^\pm + \Gamma^\mp}{B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}}} = \frac{R_f}{B_f}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

2) ***CP*-Violating Asymmetries:-** Define direct *CP* asymmetries

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{CP}^{\pm} &= a_f = \frac{|S_{\bar{f}}|^2 - |\bar{S}_f|^2}{|S_{\bar{f}}|^2 + |\bar{S}_f|^2} = \frac{2r_f \sin \alpha \sin \delta_f}{B_f} \\ -A_{CP}^{\mp} &= a_{\bar{f}} = \frac{2r_{\bar{f}} \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{\bar{f}}}{B_{\bar{f}}} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{CP}^{0-} &= a_{-0} = \frac{2r_{-0}(1 + \epsilon_{-0}) \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{-0}}{B_{-0}} \\ -A_{CP}^{0-} &= a_{0-} = \frac{2r_{0-}(1 + \epsilon_{0-}) \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{0-}}{B_{0-}} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Thus it follows from Eqs.(32),(34) and (36)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{\pm} &= (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) (1 + A_{CP}) \\ \Gamma^{\mp} &= (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) (1 - A_{CP}) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CP} &= \frac{R_f a_f - R_{\bar{f}} a_{\bar{f}}}{R_f + R_{\bar{f}}} = \frac{\Gamma^{\pm} - \Gamma^{\mp}}{\Gamma^{\pm} + \Gamma^{\mp}} \\ &= \frac{2 \sin \alpha [r_f \sin \delta_f - t^2 r_{\bar{f}} \sin \delta_{\bar{f}}]}{B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}}} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

In order to discuss the mixing induced *CP* asymmetries we first give a general expression for the time dependent decay rates for $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{f}, f$ in terms of these asymmetries

$$\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}, f) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) (1 \pm A_{CP}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 + (C \pm \Delta C) \cos \Delta mt \\ - (S \pm \Delta S) \sin \Delta mt \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

where

$$C \pm \Delta C = \frac{|S_{\bar{f},f}|^2 - |\bar{S}_{\bar{f},f}|^2}{|S_{\bar{f},f}|^2 + |\bar{S}_{\bar{f},f}|^2} = \frac{\pm(R_f - R_{\bar{f}}) + (R_f a_f + R_{\bar{f}} a_{\bar{f}})}{(R_f + R_{\bar{f}})(1 \pm A_{CP})} \quad (41)$$

The decay rates $\bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{f},f}$ can be obtained from Eq.(40) by changing $\cos \Delta mt \rightarrow \cos \Delta mt, \sin \Delta mt \rightarrow -\sin \Delta mt$

From Eqs.(36) ,(39)and (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_f &= \frac{1}{2} (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) [(1 + \Delta C) + CA_{CP}] \\ R_{\bar{f}} &= \frac{1}{2} (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) [(1 - \Delta C) - CA_{CP}] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$A_{CP}^{\pm} = -a_f = -\frac{C + A_{CP}(1 + \Delta C)}{(1 + \Delta C) + CA_{CP}} \quad (43)$$

$$A_{CP}^{\mp} = -a_{\bar{f}} = -\frac{C - A_{CP}(1 - \Delta C)}{(1 - \Delta C) - CA_{CP}} \quad (44)$$

The mixing induced CP asymmetry S and ΔS are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) (1 + A_{CP}) (S + \Delta S) &= 2 \text{Im } S_f^* \bar{S}_{\bar{f}} \\ &= \frac{2t(R_f + R_{\bar{f}})}{B_f + tB_{\bar{f}}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \sin(2\alpha + \delta_t) - r_{\bar{f}} \sin(\alpha + \delta_{\bar{f}} + \delta_t) \\ -r_f \sin(\alpha - \delta_f + \delta_t) \\ +r_f r_{\bar{f}} \sin(\delta_{\bar{f}} - \delta_f + \delta_t) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (R_f + R_{\bar{f}}) (1 - A_{CP}) (S - \Delta S) &= 2 \text{Im } S_f^* \bar{S}_f \\ &= \frac{2t(R_f + R_{\bar{f}})}{B_f + tB_{\bar{f}}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \sin(2\alpha - \delta_t) - r_{\bar{f}} \sin(\alpha - \delta_{\bar{f}} - \delta_t) \\ -r_f \sin(\alpha + \delta_f - \delta_t) \\ +r_f r_{\bar{f}} \sin(\delta_f - \delta_{\bar{f}} - \delta_t) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

4 CP - Asymmetries and Bound on $r_f, r_{\bar{f}}$

In this section, we try to extract information about r_f and $r_{\bar{f}}$ without assuming $\delta_f = \delta_{\bar{f}}$. From reference [11], we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 0.30 \pm 0.13, \Delta C = 0.33 \pm 0.13 \\ a_f &= 0.15 \pm 0.08 \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\bar{f}} &= 0.53 \pm 0.30 \\ \Gamma^{\rho\pi} &= R_f + R_{\bar{f}} = (22.8 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

From the above experimental values, using Eqs. (42-44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CP} &= -0.087 \pm 0.07 \\ R_f &= (14.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$R_{\bar{f}} = (7.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6} \quad (50)$$

It is interesting to see that using the above values for R_f and $R_{\bar{f}}$:

$$\frac{R_f - R_{\bar{f}}}{R_f + R_{\bar{f}}} = 0.31 \pm 0.14 \approx \Delta C \quad (51)$$

Since both r_f and $r_{\bar{f}}$ are small (of the order of 0.2), so as a first approximation, neglecting the terms $O(r_{f\bar{f}}^2)$; we get from Eqs.(36),(39) and (41)

$$A_{CP} \approx \frac{2 \sin \alpha (r_f \sin \delta_f - t^2 r_{\bar{f}} \sin \delta_{\bar{f}})}{1 + t^2} = \frac{a_f - t^2 a_{\bar{f}}}{1 + t^2} \quad (52)$$

$$C \approx \frac{4t^2}{(1 + t^2)^2} \sin \alpha [r_f \sin \delta_f + r_{\bar{f}} \sin \delta_{\bar{f}}] = \frac{2t^2}{(1 + t^2)^2} (a_f + a_{\bar{f}}) \quad (53)$$

$$\Delta C \approx \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2} - \frac{4t^2 \cos \alpha}{(1 + t^2)^2} (r_f \cos \delta_f - r_{\bar{f}} \cos \delta_{\bar{f}}) \quad (54)$$

If we take $t^2 = 0.52$ then using the experimental values for a_f and $a_{\bar{f}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CP} &= -0.083 \pm 0.07 \\ C &= 0.31 \pm 0.16 \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

remarkably consistent with the experimental values given above. We also note that for $t^2 = 0.52$, $\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} \approx 0.32$ very near to the experimental value of ΔC . Eq.(54), indicates that the second term on the right hand is very small. The parameter t relates the $B \rightarrow \rho$ form factor A_0 with $B \rightarrow \pi$ form factor f_+ if we assume factorization for the tree graph. Factorization gives

$$t = \frac{f_\pi A_0(m_\pi^2)}{f_\rho f_+(m_\rho^2)} \quad (56)$$

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} |V_{ub}| |V_{ud}| a_1 f_\rho 2m_B |\vec{p}| f_+(m_\rho^2) \quad (57)$$

Now $f_\rho = 208 \text{ MeV}$, $f_\pi = 131 \text{ MeV}$ and the recent value [11] of $f_+(0) = 0.27 \pm 0.04$. Using the above values and $t = 0.72$, we obtain $A_0(m_\pi^2) =$

0.31 ± 0.05 . [10, 12, 13] With $|V_{ub}| = (3.35 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-3}$, $f_+(m_\rho^2) = 0.27 \pm 0.04$ and $t^2 = 0.52$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{tree}^f &= (15.2 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-6} \\ \Gamma_{tree}^{\bar{f}} &= (7.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6}\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

Using above values of Γ_{tree}^f and $\Gamma_{tree}^{\bar{f}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}} &= \frac{\Gamma_{\rho\pi}}{\Gamma_{tree}^f} = 1.50 \pm 0.32 \\ B_f &= \frac{R_f}{\Gamma_{tree}^f} = 0.98 \pm 0.24 \\ B_{\bar{f}} &= \frac{R_{\bar{f}}}{\Gamma_{tree}^{\bar{f}}} = 1.00 \pm 0.25\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

However, we note that

$$r_{f,\bar{f}} = z_{f,\bar{f}} \pm \sqrt{B_{f,\bar{f}} - (1 - z_{f,\bar{f}}^2)}\tag{60}$$

Thus

$$B_{f,\bar{f}} \geq 1 - z_{f,\bar{f}}^2\tag{61}$$

If we take weak phase α [1] in the range $112^\circ \geq \alpha \geq 90^\circ$, then it follows from Eq.(61), that $B_{f,\bar{f}} \geq 0.86$.

Due to large uncertainty in the experimental data, it is convenient to select particular value for $f_+(m_\rho^2) V_{ub}$ in calculating Γ_{tree}^f consistent with the above constraints. With $f_+(m_\rho^2) V_{ub} = (0.26)(3.40) \times 10^{-3}$, we get $\Gamma_{tree}^f = 14.5 \times 10^{-6}$. With this value for Γ_{tree}^f , we obtain

$$B_f = 1.03 \pm 0.12, B_{\bar{f}} = 1.05 \pm 0.12\tag{62}$$

However if $z_{f,\bar{f}} < 0$ ($0 < \delta_{f,\bar{f}} < 90^\circ$) then it follows from Eq.(60) $B_f, \bar{f} > 1$. Since largest errors are in a_f and $a_{\bar{f}}$, it is reasonable to take some fixed values of $B_f, B_{\bar{f}}$ within the range given above.

Case(i) $z_{f,\bar{f}} < 0$, $B_f = 1.07$, $B_{\bar{f}} = 1.13$; $-0.25 \leq z_{f,\bar{f}} \leq -0.06$

Then we obtain from Eq. (60)

$$\begin{aligned}0.11 &\leq r_f \leq 0.21 \\ 0.18 &\leq r_{\bar{f}} \leq 0.30\end{aligned}\tag{63}$$

Case(ii) $z_f < 0, z_{\bar{f}} > 0$

For this case, we take $B_{\bar{f}} = 0.98, 0.14 \leq z_{\bar{f}} \leq 0.25$. With these values we obtain the bounds

$$0.14 \leq r_{\bar{f}} \leq 0.46 \quad (64)$$

From Eq.(36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \delta_f &= \frac{B_f a_f}{2r_f \sin \alpha} \\ \sin \delta_{\bar{f}} &= \frac{B_{\bar{f}} a_{\bar{f}}}{2r_{\bar{f}} \sin \alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Taking $\langle \sin \alpha \rangle = 0.96$; we obtain the following bounds on δ_f and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$ from Eq(65) for the case(i)

$$\begin{aligned} 11^\circ &\leq \delta_f \leq 57^\circ \\ 23^\circ &\leq \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 90^\circ \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Thus in the range $23^\circ - 57^\circ$, δ_f and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$ can be equal to each other.

For the case(ii) we get $90^\circ < \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 170^\circ$

Hence in the range $11^\circ \leq \delta_f \leq 57^\circ$ it is possible to have a solution $\delta_{\bar{f}} = \pi - \delta_f$

For the mixing induced CP asymmetries, we get from Eqs.(45) and (46), with $\delta_t = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S + A_{CP} \Delta S &= \frac{t}{B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}}} [2 \sin 2\alpha - 2 \tan \alpha (r_{\bar{f}} z_{\bar{f}} + r_f z_f)] \\ &= \frac{t}{B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}}} \left[2 \sin 2\alpha + \tan \alpha (B_{\bar{f}} + B_f - 2 - r_{\bar{f}}^2 - r_f^2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S + A_{CP} S &= \frac{t}{B_f + t^2 B_{\bar{f}}} (-\cot \alpha) [2r_{\bar{f}} \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{\bar{f}} - 2r_f \sin \alpha \sin \delta_f] \\ &= -\cot \alpha \frac{1}{2t} [(1 - t^2) (C + A_{CP} \Delta C) - (1 + t^2) A_{CP}] \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

From Eq. (68) it follows that $\Delta S + A_{CP} S$ is determined by $t, C + A_{CP} \Delta C, A_{CP}$ and the weak phase α . The parameters $t, C, \Delta C$ and A_{CP} are independent of

particle mixing and can be determined from the direct CP violation. Hence it follows that $\Delta S + A_{CP}S$ depends only one unknown parameter, the weak phase α . Due to large experimental uncertainties in mixing induced CP asymmetries, we will not discuss them any further.

Finally for the decays $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^0 (\rho^0 \pi^-)$, using the experimental values for R_{-0} and R_{0-} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{-0} &= \frac{2R_{-0}}{\Gamma_{tree}^f} = 1.65 \pm 0.26 \\ B_{0-} &= \frac{2R_{0-}}{t^2 \Gamma_{tree}^f} = 2.31 \pm 0.29 \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Now using the results given in Eq.(16) we get from Eq.(37)

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{CP}^{-0} &= a_{-0} = -\frac{2tr_{0-}(1 + \epsilon_{-0}) \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{0-}}{B_{0-}} \\ &\approx -\frac{2tr_{0-} \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{0-}}{(1 + \epsilon_{-0})} \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{CP}^{0-} &= a_{0-} = \frac{2r_{0-}(1 + \epsilon_{0-}) \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{0-}}{B_{0-}} \\ &\approx \frac{2tr_{0-} \sin \alpha \sin \delta_{0-}}{(1 + \epsilon_{0-})} \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Hence

$$\frac{A_{CP}^{-0}}{A_{CP}^{0-}} \approx -t \frac{1 + \epsilon_{0-}}{1 + \epsilon_{-0}} \quad (72)$$

Now using the approximation $B_{-0} \approx (1 + \epsilon_{-0})^2$, $B_{0-} \approx (1 + \epsilon_{0-})^2$ we obtain from the Eq.(69)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \epsilon_{-0})^2 &\approx 1.28 \pm 0.10 \Rightarrow \epsilon_{-0} = 0.28 \pm 0.10 \\ (1 + \epsilon_{0-})^2 &\approx 1.51 \pm 0.10 \Rightarrow \epsilon_{0-} = 0.51 \pm 0.10 \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{A_{CP}^{-0}}{A_{CP}^{0-}} = -0.8 \pm 0.1 \left[-\frac{0.15 \pm 0.12}{0.07 \pm 0.13} = -2 \pm 4 \right]_{\text{exp}} \quad (74)$$

The following comments are in order. Naively in the factorization ansatz: $\epsilon_{0-} \sim \frac{|C^{0-}|}{|T^{0-}|} = \frac{1}{t} \frac{a_2}{a_1}$, $\epsilon_{-0} \sim \frac{|C^{-0}|}{|T^{-0}|} = t \frac{a_2}{a_1}$. Using the above values of ϵ_{-0} , ϵ_{0-} and $t = 0.72$, we get $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = 0.39 \pm 0.14$, $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = 0.37 \pm 0.07$ consistent with each other.

To Conclude: Using the unitarity equation for the tree amplitude, and the fact that penguin is pure $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ transition, we have derived the following constraints on the final state phase shifts: $\delta_t = 0$, $1 - \cos(\delta_f - \delta_{\bar{f}}) = \frac{r_{-0}^2 - (r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})^2}{2tr_f r_{\bar{f}}}$, $\delta_{-0} - \delta_{0-} = \pm\pi$, $r_{-0} = tr_{0-}$, $tr_{\bar{f}} \sin(\delta_f^P - \delta_{\bar{f}}^P) = r_{-0} \sin(\delta_{-0}^P - \delta_f)$. From the experimental data, using the factorization for the tree amplitude and $\alpha = (99_{-9}^{+13})^\circ$, we get the following bounds on the phase shifts : $11^\circ \leq \delta_f \leq 57^\circ$; $23^\circ \leq \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 90^\circ$ for $z_{f,\bar{f}} < 0$ whereas for $z_f < 0$ and $z_{\bar{f}} > 0$, we get $90^\circ \leq \delta_{\bar{f}} \leq 170^\circ$.

Finally in the range $23^\circ \leq \delta \leq 57^\circ$, δ_f and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$ can be equal to each other. For $\delta_f = \delta_{\bar{f}}$ $r_{-0} = \pm(r_f - tr_{\bar{f}})$. Equality of δ_f and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$ may be the consequence 'of C -invariance of strong interactions as the final states $\rho^-\pi^+$ and $\rho^+\pi^-$ are C -conjugate of each other and cannot be distinguished by strong interactions. For $B^- \rightarrow \rho^-\pi^0(\rho^0\pi^-)$, we get in factorization ansatz $\frac{|C^0|}{|T^0|} \sim 0.28 \pm 0.10$, $\frac{|C^0|}{|T^0|} = 0.51 \pm 0.10$ which implies $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = 0.39 \pm 0.14$, $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = 0.37 \pm 0.07$ consistent with each other. Finally noting that penguin is pure $\Delta I = 1/2$, we have shown that asymmetries A_{CP}^{-0} and A_{CP}^{0-} have opposite sign.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges a research grant provided by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan to him as a Distinguished National Professor.

References

- [1] For recent reviews, see for instance, M.Gronau, arXiv:hep-ph/0510153 v3, 2005; M.Gronau, E. Lunghi and D.Wyler, arXiv:hep-ph/0410170 v3, 2004; C. W. Baur et. al, arXiv: hep-ph/0401188 v2, 2004; M. Beneke and M. Neubert, Nucl. Phys. B675, 333 (2003); U. Nierste, arXiv:hep-ph/0511125 v1, 2005; F.Forti, arXiv:hep-ex/0511010 v1, 2005; S. Safir, Proc. Sci. HEP2005: 246 (2005), hep-ph/0512014
- [2] V.Page and D. London, Phys. Rev. D**70**, (2004) 017501.
- [3] M.Gronau and J. Zupan arXiv:hep-ph/0407002, 2004 Reference to earlier literature can be found in this ref.
- [4] M. Beneke et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1914 (1999) [hep-ph/9905312]; Nucl. Phys. B 591, 313 (2000) [hep-ph/000612] ; C. W. Baur, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 201806 (2001) [hep-ph/0107002]

- [5] D. J. Bjorken, Nucl. Phys. 11 (Proc. Supp.) 325 (1989); H. Quinn, hep-ph/ 0111177
- [6] M.Suzuki and L.Wolfenstein, Phys.Rev. **D60**, (1999) 074019.
- [7] Fayyazuddin, JHEP **09** (2002) 055.
- [8] L. Wolfenstein, hep-ph/0407344 v1 2004; N. Soper, Nuovo Cimento, 26, 186 (1962); K. Gottfried and J. D. Jackson, Nuovo Cimento 34, 735 (1964); see also, Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Quantum Mechanics, Page 140, World Scientific 1990.
- [9] Fayyazuddin, Phys.Rev. **D70** (2004) 114018.
- [10] M.Beneke and M.Nuebert, Nucl.Phys. **B675** (2003) 333 .
- [11] Particle Data Group: Journal of Physics G, 33,1(2006)
- [12] A.H.S.Gilani, Riazuddin and T.A.Al-Aithan, JHEP **09** (2003) 065, Riazuddin, T.A.Al-Aithan and A.H.S.Gilani , International Journal of Modern Physics A, **Vol. 17**, No. **32** (2002) 4297.
- [13] C.W. Chiang, et.al. Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 034001.